

Citizen Streets Advisory Commission

December 6, 2011

1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Street Department Conference Room, 901 North Nelson Street

Commission Members present:

Dallas Hawkins
Donna Jilbert
Gary Proctor
Max Kunej
Kathy Miotke

Not present:

Hal Ellis
Kathy Miotke
Phil Barto
Stan Stirling

Staff present:

Mark Serbousek, Street Department Director
Eldon Brown, Design
Ann Deasy, Public Information Coordinator

Guests:

Paul Kropp, Pedestrian/Community Assembly

1. Introductions

The meeting was called to order at 1:08 p.m.

2. Review & Approval of Minutes

The minutes were not approved because there was not a quorum.

3. Future Bond Discussion

A discussion on the Complete Streets ordinance took place on how it will effect the next phase of the Street Bond. Some items of concern about the ordinance included a lack of hierarchy or criteria for priority of CS features. Some items are so expensive that people don't want them. For instance, at a recent meeting to form an LID, the property owners didn't want separated sidewalks with planting strips once they learned how much more it would cost. Another item mentioned was having a cap on the cost of the CS features. Most ordinances across the county seem to have the cost not to exceed 20 percent of the project cost. That seems to be the percentage that Spokane will be using. Also, it was mentioned that the intent of the ordinance would be to direct the City to use features where they are planned for like the Master Bike Plan. The goal of the ordinance is to have all modes of transportation work better together. The first reading for the ordinance will take place at the Dec. 12, City Council meeting with the final reading on Dec. 19.

The subcommittee will meet tomorrow at 3:30 p.m. and finalize the next plan. Next steps would be to talk with the new Mayor and see if he's in support of the second phase of the street bond. If Mayor Elect Condon is in favor, then the stakeholder group would need to be formed.

4. Other Discussion

December 6, 2011

Mr. Hawkins requested Ms. Deasy to email the commission and ask if the regularly scheduled date of Tuesday, Jan. 3, worked for everyone, or if they prefer to reschedule the meeting.

5. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 1:38 p.m.